

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/544,776	04/07/00	WEI		D	1561.003/200

Ψ27476 HZ12/0921 CHIRON CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - R440 P.O. BOX 8097 EMERYVILLE CA 94662-8097

EXAMINER				
ZARA,J				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 09/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/544,776	WEI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jane Zara	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ild (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,23-25,28 and 29 is/are pend	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,23-25,28 and 29</u> is/are reject	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	b by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) approved b) disapp	roved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	•					
Tomore a sum for dome	y 311401 00 0.0.0. y 11					
Attachment(s)		KATRINA TURNER PATENT ANALYST				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	· <u></u>	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Filo

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communication filed June 29, 2001, Paper No. 11. Claims 1, 2 and 5-29 are pending in the instant application.

This application contains claims 11-22, 26 and 27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 11. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 23-25, 28 and 29 have been examined as indicated below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

Withdrawn Rejections

Any rejections not repeated in this Office action are hereby withdrawn.

Maintained Rejections

Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reasons of record set forth in the Office action mailed February 26, 2001, Paper No. 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776

Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims are drawn to isolated nucleic acids molecules encoding polypeptides which comprise subsequences of SEQ ID NO: 2, as well as comprising polynucleotides at least 80% identical to the aforementioned polynucleotides and comprising at least one conservative amino acid substitution of the aforementioned polypeptides. Applicants argue that adequate description has been provided in the instant specification (i.e. at pages 11 and 15) for the sequence variations claimed. Contrary to Applicants' assertion, the instant specification does not describe a representative number of sequence variations of SEO ID Nos: 1 or 2, whereby the NogoB polypeptide is stably and functionally expressed in an unaltered state. No motifs or domains have been adequately described whereby 20% of the polynucleotides are altered and Nogo B is expressed. No data has been provided for the tolerance of conserved amino acid changes of SEQ ID NO: 2 whereby Nogo B is expressed and its function conserved. The specification describes the number of polynucleotide or amino acid substitutions that are preferred for patentability, but no data has been provided to establish that these preferred ranges of sequence changes yield polynucleotides or polypeptides which are functionally equivalent to Nogo B.

Claims 23-25, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for methods of inhibiting cell growth in vitro comprising the administration of antisense or ribozymes targeting nucleic acids encoding Nogo B, does not

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

reasonably provide enablement for methods of inhibiting cell growth of Nogo B expression in vivo comprising the administration of antisense targeting nucleic acids encoding Nogo B, for the reasons of record set forth in the Office action mailed February 26, 2001, Paper No. 8.

Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the instant specification is enabling for antisense or ribozyme in vivo delivery and target inhibition because it would not be unpredictable to predict the therapeutic efficacy of antisense or ribozymes because in vitro target inhibition has been provided. Contrary to Applicants' assertions, there remains a high degree of unpredictability regarding the extrapolation of in vivo efficacy from in vitro data of antisense or ribozymes administered, as illustrated in the references provided in the Office action of February 26, 2001 (See especially Bioworld Today, page 1, left column). No in vivo data has been provided in the instant specification for the successful delivery and inhibition of target gene expression in an organism following the administration of any antisense or ribozymes which target SEQ ID NO:

1. Therefore the instant invention stands rejected for lacking enablement over the scope claimed, which scope includes in vivo delivery and inhibition of target gene expression.

Claims 23, 24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for the reasons of record set forth in the Office action mailed February 26, 2001, Paper No. 8.

Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Bandman et al do not disclose the entire polynucleotide

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and therefore it would not have been obvious to design and utilize antisense or ribozyme molecules which target and inhibit the expression of Nogo B, as in the methods described by Milner et al or James. Contrary to Applicants' assertions, Bandman et al disclose polynucleotides comprising over 600 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 1, which polynucleotides are shared between SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant application and the previously disclosed NSPLP of Bandman et al. Bandman et al disclose antisense and ribozyme molecules which target portions of the target nucleic acid molecule encoding NSPLP, and which include over 600 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 1 (See especially columns 27 and 28 of Bandman et al). It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to design and assess the ability of antisense or ribozymes to target and inhibit the expression of the previously disclosed target molecule NSPLP which includes over 600 nucleotides of carboxy terminus of Nogo B, as listed in SEQ ID NO: 1.

Rejections Necessitated by Amendments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what the "coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1" is. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

A STATE OF THE STATE OF

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers for the Group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,776

Page 7

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jane Zara whose telephone number is (703) 306-5820. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader,

can be reached on (703) 308-0447. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to

the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ANDREW WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

JZ

September 21, 2001